

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/667,369	09/23/2003	Richard Ma	3313-1036P	3313-1036P 9195		
2292	7590 12/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	EWART KOLASCH &	DATSKOVSKIY	DATSKOVSKIY, MICHAEL V			
PO BOX 74' FALLS CHU	л JRCH, VA 22040-074	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2835			
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/667,369)	MA, RICHARD				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		1	Datskovskiy	2835				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THI CFR 1.136(a). In no ever tition. y period will apply and will by statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION It, however, may a reply be tire expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 🂢	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 24 November 20	05.					
′==	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
/	•	lication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·	•					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12 and 18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · ·	⊠ Claim(s) <u>12 and 18</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) <u>12 and 75</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
=	☐ Claim(s) is are objected to: ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	on Papers		•	5				
	The specification is objected to by the Ex	rominor						
			7 objected to by the	Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	•	foreign priority und	or 25 II S C & 110/o) (d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
a)ı								
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	application from the International I			ea iii tiiis i t ational	Olage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	,		ed.				
			54 00p.00					
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					O 152\			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	-atent Application (PT)	J-10Z)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12 and 18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 12 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barsun et al.

Barsun et al teach a heat-dissipating fin module 400, Fig. 4, comprising: a heat-conductive base 414, which is installed on a heat-generating component of an electronic device; a plurality of first heat-dissipating fins 430, which are vertically installed at intervals on one half side of the heat-conductive base 414, each of the first heat-dissipating fins having an arc surface parallel to one another, and the space between adjacent first heat-dissipating fins forming a first airflow space for providing a curved airflow path; a plurality of second heat-dissipating fins 420, which are vertically installed at intervals on the other half side of the heat-conductive base, each of the second heat-dissipating fins having an arc surface parallel to one another but having curvature centers opposite to those of the first heat-dissipating fins, and the space

Application/Control Number: 10/667,369

Art Unit: 2835

between adjacent second heat-dissipating fins forming a second airflow space for providing a curved airflow path 419 that does not cross the airflow path 417 of the first airflow space; at least one third heat-dissipating fin (see Fig. 4), which is vertically installed on the heat-conductive base in an outer region between the first heatdissipating fins and the second heat-dissipating fins, and wherein the curvature centers of the first heat-dissipating fins and the second heat-dissipating fins are on a same line, the outermost first heat-dissipating fin and second heat-dissipating fin are shorter and the third heat-dissipating fin is straight. Regarding to the claim 18: Claim is directed to different methods of making said heat dissipating fins and installing them on the base, and therefore, has not been given a patentable weight. It is well settled that the presence of process limitations in product claims, which product does not otherwise distinguish over the prior art, cannot impart patentability to that product. (In re Johnson, 157 USPQ 670, 1968). Also: "Even though product – by – process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior art product was made by a different process" (In re Thorpe, 777 F. 2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 Fed. Cir. 1985).

Page 3

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2835

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Datskovskiy whose telephone number is (571) 272-2040. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on (571) 272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,369

Art Unit: 2835

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Men Pattle F

Michael V Datskovskiy Primary Examiner Art Unit 2835

12/12/2005